### I.3. CARLOS C. HILADO MEMORIAL STATE COLLEGE

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

#### SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

### ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

- 1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased 2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
- 3. Community engagement increased

### PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2021 TARGETS
Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased		
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM Outcome Indicators		
Percentage of first-time licensure exam     takers that pass the licensure exams	54%	57%
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	32%	45%
Output Indicators  1. Percentage of undergraduate studentS enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	54%	59%
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	95.83%	100%
Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation		
RESEARCH PROGRAM Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries Output Indicators	11	16
1. Number of research outputs completed within the year	74	80
2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year	42%	48%

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE

Community	engagement	increased
		******

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator		
1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs,	12	17
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and		
other stakeholders as a result of		
extension activities		
Output Indicators		
1. Number of trainees weighted by the	1,704	1,860
length of training		
2. Number of extension programs organized	13	19
and supported consistent with the SUC's		
mandated and priority programs		
3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the	66.70%	82.00%
training course/s as satisfactory or higher		
in terms of quality and relevance		